	Case 3:14-cv-02346-JCS Document 6	/1 Filed 09/12/25 Page 1 of 4				
1						
2	JENNIFER S. ROMANO (SBN 195953) jromano@crowell.com ANDREW HOLMER (SBN 268864) aholmer@crowell.com CROWELL & MORING LLP					
3						
4						
5	515 South Flower Street, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 622-4750 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690					
6						
7	Attorneys for Defendant					
8	Attorneys for Defendant UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH (Additional Counsel on Signature Page)					
9	(Auditional Counsel on Signature Lage)					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11		CISCO DIVISION				
12	DAVID AND NATASHA WIT, et al.,	Case No. 3:14-CV-02346-JCS Related Case No. 3:14-CV-05337-JCS				
13	Plaintiffs,					
14	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE FOR JOINT SUBMISSION REQUESTED IN AUGUST 5, 2025 ORDER				
15	UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,					
16	Defendant.					
17	GARY ALEXANDER, et al.,	Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero				
18	Plaintiffs,	tuage. Hom toseph of spero				
19	V.					
2021	UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,					
22	Defendant.					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

4

5

3

6 7

9

8

11 12

13

10

14 15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, and the Court's August 5, 2025 Order (ECF No. 669), the parties in the above-captioned consolidated actions, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on August 5, 2025, the Court issued an Order (ECF No. 669) regarding the Plaintiffs' breach of fiduciary duty claim and the extent to which it survived the Ninth Circuit's decision in Wit v. United Behav. Health, 79 F.4th 1068 (9th Cir. 2023) ("Wit III");

WHEREAS, the Court held that Plaintiffs' breach of fiduciary duty claim survives Wit III to the extent that it is based on breaches of the duties of loyalty and care (ECF No. 669 at 56);

WHEREAS, the Court further held that judgment must be entered in favor of UBH on the breach of fiduciary duty claim to the extent that claim is based on breach of the duty to adhere to plan terms, *id*.;

WHEREAS, the Court thus ordered the parties to meet and confer and submit, no later than September 12, 2025, joint or separate proposals addressing next steps in this case and whether any injunctive or declaratory relief previously awarded by the Court that has not already been reversed by the Panel requires modification in light of the Court's August 5, 2025 Order, id. at 56-57.;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and UBH have begun to meet and confer about their respective positions on necessary changes to the Remedies Order in light of the Court's August 5, 2025 Order;

WHEREAS, the Parties require additional time to continue meeting and conferring in order to: (a) identify areas of agreement and disagreement; and (b) as to any remaining areas of disagreement, exchange position statements for joint submission to, and resolution by, the Court;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and UBH seek to continue to meet and confer and have agreed on a schedule for mutual exchanges of their positions and preparation of a joint submission to the Court regarding the parties' respective positions on appropriate modifications to the Remedies Order in light of the Court's August 5, 2025 Order;

WHEREAS, the Parties respectfully request that the Court continue the Parties' deadline to submit their joint submission to the Court from the current deadline of September 12, 2025 to

	Case 3:14-cv-02346-JCS	Document 671	Filed 09/12/25	Page 3 of 4		
1						
2	October 9, 2025;					
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that, subject to the approval of the					
4	Court, the parties' deadline to submit their joint submission to the Court addressing next steps in					
5	this case and whether any injunctive or declaratory relief previously awarded by the Court that					
6	has not already been reversed by the Panel requires modification in light of the Court's August 5,					
7	2025 Order is hereby continued from the current deadline of September 12, 2025 to October 9 ,					
8	2025.					
9						
10	Respectfully submitted,					
11	Dated: September 12, 2025		ZUCKERMAN	N SPAEDER LLP		
12			/s/ Carolin	e E. Reynolds		
13 14			Jason	E. Reynolds S. Cowart a Mathew		
15 16				PPEAL, INC. m Bendat		
17			Attorneys for Plai	ntiffs and the Classes		
18	Dated: September 12, 2025		CROWELL &	& MORING LLP		
19			<u>/s/ Jennife</u>	er S. Romano		
20				S. Romano w Holmer		
21		Attor	neys for Defendan	t United Behavioral Health		
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

	Case 3:14-cv-02346-JCS				
1					
2	ATTESTATION				
3	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the				
4	filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.				
5	Dated: September 12, 2025 /s/ Jennifer S. Romano				
6	Dated: September 12, 2025 /s/ Jennifer S. Romano Jennifer S. Romano				
7					
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
10	Detail				
11	Date: The Honorable Joseph C. Spero United States Magistrate Judge				
12	Officed States Wagistrate Judge				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					